

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
9 **CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

10 MICHAEL GRECCO
11 PRODUCTIONS, INC.,

12 Plaintiff,

13 v.

14 IMAGESELECT B.V.,
15

16 Defendant.
17

No. 2:22-cv-07398-JAK (JPRx)

JUDGMENT

JS-6

18 WHEREAS, Plaintiff Michael Grecco Productions, Inc. (“Grecco” or
19 “Plaintiff”) filed this action against Defendant Imageselect B.V. (“Imageselect” or
20 “Defendant”) on October 11, 2022 advancing causes of action for (1) direct copyright
21 infringement in violation of 17 U.S.C. § 101, *et seq.*, and (2) use of false copyright
22 management information in violation of the Digital Millennium Copyright Act, 17
23 U.S.C. § 1202;
24

25
26 WHEREAS, on February 15, 2023, Defendant filed a motion to dismiss the
27 Complaint pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(b)(2), 12(b)(3) and 12(b)(6) (the “MTD”),
28

1 and an accompanying request for judicial notice;

2 WHEREAS, on March 23, 2023, Plaintiff filed a motion for leave to file a late
3 opposition, and on April 14, 2023, filed supplemental materials including its proposed
4 opposition to the MTD (the “MTD Opposition”);
5

6 WHEREAS, on April 18, 2023, the Court deemed the MTD Opposition timely
7 filed, and on May 2, 2023, Defendant replied in support of its MTD;
8

9 WHEREAS, on July 2, 2023, Plaintiff filed a motion for leave to conduct
10 expedited jurisdictional discovery (the “Discovery Motion”), which was fully briefed
11 as of July 24, 2023;
12

13 WHEREAS, a hearing on the MTD and Discovery Motion was held on October
14 2, 2023 and those motions were taken under submission;

15 WHEREAS, on October 4, 2023, the Court deferred ruling on the MTD and
16 granted the Discovery Motion in part, and ordered supplemental briefing after
17 jurisdictional discovery was completed;
18

19 WHEREAS, after completing the jurisdictional discovery, Plaintiff filed its
20 supplemental brief and an accompanying supplemental request for judicial notice on
21 February 1, 2024, Defendant filed its supplemental brief on February 8, 2024, and the
22 MTD was again taken under submission; and
23
24

25 WHEREAS, on March 11, 2024, the Court granted Defendant’s MTD and
26 dismissed Plaintiff’s claims against Imageselect for lack of personal jurisdiction.
27

28 **JUDGMENT IS HEREBY ENTERED** as follows:


1 1. Defendant is not subject to either general or specific personal jurisdiction
2 in California;

3 2. Defendant is not subject to nationwide personal jurisdiction pursuant to
4
5 Fed. R. Civ. P. 4(k)(2);

6 3. The Complaint in this matter is dismissed for lack of personal jurisdiction
7
8 pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(b)(2).

9
10 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

11
12 Date: March 28, 2024



John A. Kronstadt
United States District Judge